

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,652	07/09/2003	Kristian DiMatteo	1001.1309103	9311
28075 7590 01/25/2007 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			YABUT, DIANE D	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A -4! O	10/615,652	DIMATTEO, KRISTIAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Diane Yabut	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	<u>' November 2006</u> .				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11,·453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26 and 34-40</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26 and 34-40</u> is/are rejected.		• .			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2006</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Intention 9	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application —			

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 7 November 2006. Examiner acknowledges the changes made to the drawings and specification, and therefore the objections to the drawings have been withdrawn. The examiner also acknowledges the amendments to the claims.

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 7 November 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of patent no. 6,217,600 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 20-23, 25-26,34-36, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ravenscroft et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,558).

<u>Claims 20-23,25,34, and 38-40</u>: Ravenscroft et al. discloses a conically-shaped filtering basket including an apex, comprising a body member **12**, a plurality of struts, or arms, **26** that are substantially straight and have a distal, or first, end region and a

Art Unit: 3734

proximal, or second, end region wherein the proximal end region is <u>fixedly attached</u> to the body member with the struts extending therefrom, <u>each arm including a joined end fixedly attached to the apex</u>, and a plurality of anchoring members 28 disposed on a distal end of each of the struts, and a weakened region, or reduced cross-sectional area region, 30 defined in each of the struts adjacent the anchoring member (Figures 1-2).

<u>Claim 26</u>: Ravenscroft et al. discloses the struts having bends 42 (Figure 10).

<u>Claims 35-36</u>: Ravenscroft et al. discloses the reduced cross-sectional area region 30 being defined by a notch or divet, wherein a "notch" and a "divet" are both understood as meaning an "indentation" (Figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravenscroft et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,558) in view of El-Nounou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,242,462).
- <u>Claim 24</u>: Ravenscroft et al. discloses the claimed device except for the body member including a bore.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,652

Art Unit: 3734

Page 4

El-Nounou et al. teaches a filter device with a body member **62** having a bore **68** which is beneficial in receiving a guidewire during manipulation of the filter (Figure 7 and col. 4, lines 51-53, col. 2, lines 35-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a bore in the body member, as taught by El-Nounou et al., to Ravenscroft et al. in order to manipulate the filter device by a guidewire or hook.

6. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravenscroft et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,558) in view of Ambrisco et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,557).

<u>Claim 37</u>: Ravenscroft et al. discloses the claimed device except for the reducedcross sectional area being defined by an opening in the strut.

Ambrisco et al. teaches a reduced cross-sectional area defined by an opening 318 in a strut 312 which can be useful in allowing a guidewire or hook to pass through for manipulation of the filter device (Figure 36C and col. 20, lines 1-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide an opening in the strut, as taught by Ambrisco et al., to Ravenscroft et al. in order to manipulate the filter device by a guidewire or hook.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 7 November 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant generally argues that the plurality of struts are not *fixedly attached* to the body member in Ravenscroft, *fixedly attached* (as well as *each arm including a joined end fixedly attached to the apex* being limitations that were amended in the claims). The examiner disagrees. It is reasonable to consider the plurality of struts being fixedly attached to the body member by way of elements **34** and **36** in Ravenscroft (see Figure 4), and therefore the device of Ravenscroft reads on Claims 20, 34, and 38-40, as maintained in paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,652

Art Unit: 3734

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER